Non-asymptotic error estimates for the Laplace approximation in Bayesian inverse problems

Tapio Helin Remo Kretschmann



Inverse Days 17 December 2020

Introduction

Central error estimate

Explicit error estimate

Focus

Approximation of posterior distribution of Bayesian inverse problem by **Gaussian distribution** according to Laplace's method.

Laplace's method

- Replace log-posterior density by second order Taylor approximation around MAP estimate
- 2. Renormalise

Examples for use of Laplace approximation

- ▶ When **sampling** posterior distribution is **too expensive**.
- Inverse problems that are close to linear problem.

Motivation

- Asymptotic properties of Laplace approximation in small noise or large data limit have been studied extensively.
- ► In practice, one is often interested in quantifying approximation error for given noise level.

Problems

Nonlinearity of problem or high problem dimension may cause large approximation error even for low noise level.

Goal

Understand and quantify influence of

- 1. nonlinearity of forward mapping,
- 2. problem dimension

on Laplace approximation error.

Contribution

Main results

Non-asymptotic error estimates in total variation distance for Laplace approximation in Bayesian inverse problems:

- 1. Central error estimate
- Error estimate that makes explicit influence of non-Gaussianity of likelihood, non-Gaussianity of prior, and problem dimension
- Error estimate for perturbed linear problems with Gaussian prior that makes explicit influence of nonlinear perturbation

Total variation error of Laplace approximation is **measure of non-Gaussianity** of posterior distribution.

Introduction

Central error estimate

Explicit error estimate

Set-up

For $\varepsilon > 0$, recover $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ from noisy measurement $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where

$$y = G(x) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\eta.$$

- ► Nonlinear **forward mapping** *G*
- **Random noise** $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with standard normal distribution

$$\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$$

Prior distribution

$$\mu(dx) = \exp(-R(x))dx$$

▶ Posterior distribution according to Bayes' formula given by

$$\mu^{y}(dx) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}|y-G(x)|^{2}-R(x)\right)dx$$



Laplace approximation

Assumption

$$I(x) := \frac{1}{2}|y - G(x)|^2 + \varepsilon R(x)$$

has unique minimiser $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $I \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, and Hessian $(HI)(\hat{x})$ is positive definite.

Then, Laplace approximation of μ^{y} defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu^{y}} := \mathcal{N}(\hat{x}, \varepsilon \Sigma),$$

where $\Sigma := (HI(\hat{x}))^{-1}$. This way,

$$\mu^{y}(\mathrm{d}x) \propto \exp\left(-rac{1}{arepsilon}I(x)
ight)\mathrm{d}x, \ \mathcal{L}_{\mu^{y}}(\mathrm{d}x) \propto \exp\left(-rac{1}{2arepsilon}\|x-\hat{x}\|_{\Sigma}^{2}
ight)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Assumptions

Define
$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{2}|y - G(x)|^2$$
, so that $I(x) = \Phi(x) + \varepsilon R(x)$.

Bounds on log-likelihood and log-prior density

 $\Phi, R \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists K > 0 such that

$$\max\left\{\|D^3\Phi(x)\|_{\Sigma},\|D^3R(x)\|_{\Sigma}\right\}\leq K\quad\text{for all }x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\|D^3\Phi(x)\|_{\Sigma} := \sup\left\{|D^3\Phi(x)(h_1,h_2,h_3)| : \|h_j\|_{\Sigma} \le 1\right\}$.

Quadratic bound on log-posterior density

There exists $0 < \delta \le 1$ such that

$$I(x) - I(\hat{x}) \ge \frac{\delta}{2} \|x - \hat{x}\|_{\Sigma}^2$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.



Central error estimate

Theorem

Under previous assumptions on Φ , R, and I, we have

$$d_{\mathsf{TV}}(\mu^{\mathsf{y}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mu^{\mathsf{y}}}) \leq E_1(r_0; K) + E_2(r_0; \delta) \quad \text{for all } r_0 \geq 0,$$

where

$$E_1(r_0;K) := (2\varepsilon)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \int_0^{r_0} f(r) r^{d-1} \mathrm{d}x,$$

$$E_2(r_0;\delta) := \delta^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{\delta r_0^2}{2\varepsilon}\right),$$

and

$$f(r) := \left[\exp\left(\frac{(1+\varepsilon)K}{6\varepsilon}r^3\right) - 1 \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}r^2\right).$$

Optimal choice of r_0

Proposition

Optimal choice of r_0 in previous estimate is either 0 or satisfies

$$\exp\left(\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\mathcal{K}}{6\varepsilon}r_0^3\right)-1-\exp\left(\frac{1-\delta}{2\varepsilon}r_0^2\right)=0.$$

Introduction

Central error estimate

Explicit error estimate

Explicit error estimate

Theorem

Suppose that previous assumptions on Φ , R, and I hold. If K, δ , ε , and d satisfy

$$\frac{6\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1+\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}K} \geq \max\left\{8d^{\frac{3}{2}}, \left(8\ln\frac{2}{C(1+\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}K\delta^{\frac{d}{2}}C_d}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\}$$

where

$$C:=rac{1}{3}\sqrt{2}\mathrm{e}$$
 and $C_d:=rac{\Gamma\left(rac{d}{2}+rac{3}{2}
ight)}{\Gamma\left(rac{d}{2}
ight)},$

then

$$d_{\mathsf{TV}}(\mu^{\mathsf{y}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mu^{\mathsf{y}}}) \leq 2C(1+\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}KC_{\mathsf{d}}.$$

▶ Note that $C_d \asymp \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ as $d \to \infty$.



Asymptotic behaviour as problem dimension $d o \infty$

Index K_d , δ_d , and ε_d by $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary

Suppose that previous assumptions hold for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\delta_d \leq e^{-1/2}$, $\varepsilon_d \leq 1$,

$$arepsilon_d^{rac{1}{2}} \mathcal{K}_d o 0$$
, and $rac{3}{arepsilon_d^{rac{1}{2}} \mathcal{K}_d} \geq \left(rac{8}{\delta_d} \ln rac{1}{\delta_d}
ight)^{rac{3}{2}} d^{rac{3}{2}}$

for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, then for every $C > \frac{1}{3}e$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_{\mathsf{TV}}(\mu^{\mathsf{y}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mu^{\mathsf{y}}}) \leq C \varepsilon_d^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathsf{K}_d d^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

for all d > N.

Introduction

Central error estimate

Explicit error estimate

Perturbed linear problems with Gaussian prior

► Forward mapping *G* given by linear mapping with small nonlinear perturbation

$$G_{\tau}(x) = Ax + \tau F(x),$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $F \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\tau \geq 0$.

▶ Gaussian prior distribution $\mu = \mathcal{N}(m_0, \Sigma_0)$

Assumption

There exists $\tau_0 > 0$, such that for all $\tau \in [0, \tau_0]$,

$$I_{\tau}(x) = \frac{1}{2}|Ax + \tau F(x) - y_{\tau}|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}||x - m_0||_{\Sigma_0}^2$$

has **unique minimiser** \hat{x}_{τ} with $(HI_{\tau})(\hat{x}_{\tau}) > 0$. Furthermore, y_{τ} , \hat{x}_{τ} , and $\Sigma_{\tau} := HI_{\tau}(\hat{x}_{\tau})^{-1}$ **converge** as $\tau \to 0$ with $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \Sigma_{\tau} > 0$.

Assumptions

Let $B(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote a closed Euclidean ball with radius M around the origin.

Bounds on nonlinear perturbation

There exist $C_0, \ldots, C_3 > 0$ and M > 0 such that

$$||D^j F(x)||_{\Sigma_{\tau}} \leq C_j, \quad j=0,\ldots,3,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $au \in [0, au_0]$, and

$$D^3F\equiv 0$$
 on $\mathbb{R}^d\setminus B(M)$.

Error estimate for perturbed linear problems

Theorem

Under the previous assumptions, there exists $\tau_1 \in (0, \tau_0]$ such that

$$d_{\mathsf{TV}}(\mu^{y_{\tau}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mu^{y_{\tau}}}) \leq 2\mathsf{CC}_d(1+arepsilon) arepsilon^{rac{1}{2}} \left(V(au) au + rac{W}{2} au^2
ight)$$

for all $\tau \in [0, \tau_1]$, where

$$C := \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2}e, \quad C_d := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})},$$

$$V(\tau) := C_3(\|A\|M + |y_{\tau}|) + 3C_2 \|A\Sigma_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|,$$

$$W := C_3C_0 + 3C_2C_1.$$

Moreover, $\{V(\tau)\}_{\tau \in [0,\tau_1]}$ is bounded.

References



T. Helin and R. Kretschmann.

Non-asymptotic error estimates for the Laplace approximation in Bayesian inverse problems.

Preprint, 2020, arXiv:2012.06603.



C. Schillings, B. Sprungk, and P. Wacker.

On the convergence of the Laplace approximation and noise-level-robustness of Laplace-based Monte Carlo methods for Bayesian inverse problems.

Numerische Mathematik, 145 (2020), pp. 915–971.



Y. Lu.

On the Bernstein-von Mises theorem for high dimensional nonlinear Bayesian inverse problems.

Preprint, 2017, arXiv:1706.00289.